

# Concept to The Caucasian Bridge

Jaba Tkemaladze\*

Free University of Tbilisi, 240 David Aghmashenebeli Alley, 0159, Tbilisi, Georgia

**Received date:** December 29, 2024; **Accepted date:** January 13, 2024; **Published date:** January 20, 2025

\***Corresponding author:** Tel: +995 555 185161; E- mail: djabbat@gmail.com

**Copyright:** © 2025 Tkemaladze Jaba. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Caucasian Bridge. Longevity Horizons, 108(1). doi: 10.5281/zenodo.14689276

## Abstract

The "Eurasian Balkans" region remains a focal point of global political and economic strategies due to its geographical, geopolitical, and geo-economic significance.

Drawing on classical geopolitical theories by Mackinder and Spykman, this paper explores the strategic importance of the Heartland, with a specific focus on the Caucasus region, conceptualized as "Caucasusland."

It discusses the role of the South Caucasus—termed the "Caucasian Bridge"—as a strategic axis in global politics, analyzing the interests and interventions of major powers, including Russia, the U.S., the European Union, and China.

The study concludes with insights into potential alliances and tensions that shape the region's future, emphasizing the need for balanced strategies to avoid conflict escalation.

**Keywords:** caucasusland; geopolitical; caucasus; energy security; connectivity; cooperation

## Introduction

The region often referred to as the "Eurasian Balkans" has long been a focal point of global tensions, serving as both a bridge and a battleground between competing civilizations, ideologies, and interests. This region's strategic location, connecting Europe and Asia, as well as its abundant natural resources and diverse cultures, has made it an epicenter of geopolitical struggles throughout history. From the early 20th century to the present day, the Eurasian Balkans have witnessed repeated interventions and conflicts driven by the ambitions of global powers vying for influence and control. Understanding the historical and contemporary significance of this region is critical to comprehending the broader dynamics of global politics.

Historically, the Eurasian Balkans became a stage for power rivalries even before the outbreak of World War I. The fragmentation of empires, the rise of nationalist movements, and the emergence of colonial powers seeking to expand their spheres of influence laid the groundwork for the region's tumultuous history. These tensions persisted into the interwar period, where the inability of the League of Nations to establish a framework for peaceful coexistence among nations exposed the fragility of early attempts at global governance.

Designed to prevent another catastrophic conflict, the League ultimately failed to reconcile the interests of major powers with the principles of collective security and equality among nations.

The aftermath of World War II marked the birth of the United Nations (UN), an organization envisioned as a more robust and effective instrument for promoting international peace and security. While the UN succeeded in certain areas, such as decolonization and humanitarian aid, it has faced significant challenges in addressing the underlying causes of global instability. The persistence of geopolitical rivalries, the dominance of certain states in decision-making processes, and the veto power held by the Permanent Members of the Security Council have often hindered the organization's ability to act impartially and effectively. These structural limitations have allowed the interests of powerful nations to overshadow universal values such as the right to self-determination, freedom, and equality.

One of the most striking examples of these limitations came in 2003 with the developments in Iraq. The U.S.-led invasion, conducted without the full endorsement of the UN Security Council, highlighted the organization's inability to enforce its own principles and maintain a balance of power. This event not only underscored the need for reform within the UN but also raised fundamental questions about the legitimacy and effectiveness of the current global governance system. It became increasingly clear that the traditional structures of international organizations were ill-equipped to navigate the complexities of a rapidly changing world order.

In response to these challenges, the concept of "Optimization of Global Management" has emerged as a critical framework for addressing the shortcomings of existing systems. This approach advocates for a more dynamic and adaptive model of global governance, one that prioritizes collaboration, inclusivity, and the equitable distribution of power. The Optimization of Global Management represents a shift away from the rigid hierarchies and exclusivity of past institutions toward a more participatory and networked approach. It seeks to integrate diverse perspectives, harness

technological advancements, and promote sustainable development as core pillars of international relations.

The need for such a transformation is particularly evident in the context of the Eurasian Balkans. The region's unique geopolitical position, sitting at the crossroads of Europe, Asia, and the Middle East, makes it a microcosm of the broader challenges facing global governance. The South Caucasus, often referred to as the "Caucasian Bridge," exemplifies these dynamics. As a crucial corridor for energy transportation, trade, and cultural exchange, it has become a point of convergence for the interests of major powers such as Russia, the United States, the European Union, and China. Each of these actors has its own strategic objectives in the region, ranging from securing energy resources to promoting regional stability and expanding influence.

However, the interplay of these competing interests has also made the Caucasian Bridge a potential flashpoint for conflict. The region's fragmented political landscape, combined with historical grievances and unresolved territorial disputes, creates a volatile environment where external interventions can exacerbate existing tensions. This underscores the importance of a balanced and inclusive approach to global governance, one that recognizes the legitimate interests of all stakeholders while prioritizing the principles of peace, cooperation, and mutual respect.

As the world transitions into a new phase of human development, the lessons of the Eurasian Balkans serve as a reminder of the urgent need for innovative solutions to the challenges of global governance. The Optimization of Global Management offers a promising pathway for achieving this goal, but its success will depend on the collective will and commitment of the international community. By addressing the structural flaws of existing institutions and embracing a more holistic and equitable vision of global cooperation, it may be possible to transform regions like the Eurasian Balkans from arenas of conflict into models of collaboration and progress.

In this context, this paper seeks to explore the intricate dynamics of the Eurasian Balkans, with a particular focus on the Caucasian Bridge as a pivotal axis in global

politics. Drawing on classical geopolitical theories and contemporary developments, the study aims to shed light on the opportunities and challenges facing this region and its implications for the future of global governance.

## Theoretical Foundations

The study of geopolitics relies heavily on foundational theories that explain the interplay between geography, power, and politics. The Eurasian Balkans, a region with unique geographical significance, has been a focal point of these theories for over a century. Key among these are Halford Mackinder's Heartland Theory and Nicholas Spykman's Rimland Theory. These frameworks, while developed in different historical contexts, remain highly relevant in understanding the geopolitical dynamics of the region, particularly the role of the Caucasian Bridge. This section explores these theories and their synthesis, providing a robust theoretical foundation for analyzing the Eurasian Balkans.

### Mackinder's Heartland Theory

Halford Mackinder, often regarded as the father of geopolitics, introduced his Heartland Theory in 1904, which he later expanded in his 1919 work *Democratic Ideals and Reality*. Mackinder posited that the central landmass of Eurasia, which he termed the "Heartland," held the key to global domination due to its strategic location and vast resources. He famously stated: "He who controls East Europe commands the Heartland. He who controls the Heartland commands the World Island. He who controls the World Island commands the World."

The Heartland, as defined by Mackinder, encompasses much of Eastern Europe, Central Asia, and parts of Siberia. Mackinder argued that this region's geographic characteristics—its landlocked nature, limited access to seas, and abundance of natural resources—made it a fortress-like pivot area. Historically, empires dominating this region, such as the Mongols and later the Russian Empire, demonstrated the potential for the Heartland to serve as a base for projecting power outward.

In the context of the Eurasian Balkans, the Heartland Theory underscores the strategic importance of controlling the region to influence global politics. The South Caucasus, situated at the crossroads of Europe, Asia, and the Middle East, plays a critical role within this framework. As part of the Heartland's periphery, it acts as both a gateway and a buffer, offering access to crucial trade routes, energy corridors, and regional influence.

### Spykman's Rimland Theory

Nicholas Spykman, often referred to as the "godfather of containment," revised Mackinder's ideas in the mid-20th century, emphasizing the significance of the Rimland—the coastal areas surrounding the Heartland. In his 1944 work *The Geography of the Peace*, Spykman argued that control over the Rimland was more critical to global dominance than control over the Heartland. He asserted: "Who controls the Rimland rules Eurasia; who rules Eurasia controls the destinies of the world."

The Rimland, encompassing the coastal regions of Europe, the Middle East, South Asia, and East Asia, serves as a dynamic interface between continental and maritime powers. Unlike the Heartland, the Rimland offers access to critical sea routes, ports, and global trade networks, making it an essential geopolitical arena.

Spykman's theory is particularly relevant in understanding the contemporary importance of the Eurasian Balkans. The South Caucasus, as part of the Rimland, acts as a vital corridor linking the Black Sea, the Caspian Sea, and the broader Eurasian landmass. Its role as a transit hub for energy pipelines, such as the Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan pipeline, and trade routes underscores its strategic value. Furthermore, the region's position as a buffer zone between competing powers—Russia, the United States, Europe, and China—aligns with Spykman's emphasis on the Rimland as a contested space.

### Comparing Mackinder and Spykman

While Mackinder and Spykman offer contrasting views on the primacy of the Heartland and Rimland, their theories are not mutually exclusive. Mackinder's

emphasis on the Heartland as the ultimate pivot area highlights the importance of controlling the Eurasian interior, particularly regions like the South Caucasus that provide access to this core. Spykman's focus on the Rimland, on the other hand, highlights the value of maritime access and the ability to project power globally through coastal regions.

In practice, these theories can be synthesized to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the Eurasian Balkans. The South Caucasus, for example, serves as both a critical part of the Heartland's periphery and a key node in the Rimland. Its dual role as a land-based pivot and a maritime-adjacent corridor makes it a unique geopolitical asset, aligning with the insights of both Mackinder and Spykman.

### The Synthesis: Caucasusland as a Geopolitical Unit

Building on the theories of Mackinder and Spykman, the concept of "Caucasusland" emerges as a distinct geopolitical unit within the Eurasian Balkans. Caucasusland encompasses the South Caucasus region, with the Caucasian Bridge serving as its strategic axis. This framework recognizes the region's dual importance as a gateway to the Heartland and a critical part of the Rimland.

The Caucasian Bridge, connecting Europe and Asia, functions as a vital transit route for energy, trade, and communication. Its significance is further amplified by its role as a buffer zone, mitigating the influence of larger powers and preventing the escalation of conflicts. This unique positioning makes Caucasusland a microcosm of the broader geopolitical dynamics at play in the Eurasian Balkans.

### The Contemporary Relevance of Geopolitical Theories

The geopolitical theories of Mackinder and Spykman remain highly relevant in the modern era, particularly in the context of globalization and technological advancement. The Eurasian Balkans, and the South Caucasus in particular, continue to be shaped by the interplay of land-based and maritime powers. Key

developments illustrating the relevance of these theories include:

1. **Energy Politics:** The South Caucasus is a crucial corridor for transporting energy resources from the Caspian region to global markets. Control over these pipelines and transit routes aligns with both Mackinder's and Spykman's emphasis on strategic access.
2. **Great Power Rivalries:** The region remains a hotspot for competition among Russia, the United States, the European Union, and China. Each power's strategic objectives reflect the enduring insights of Mackinder and Spykman regarding the Heartland and Rimland.
3. **Regional Stability:** The South Caucasus is characterized by unresolved conflicts, such as those in Nagorno-Karabakh, Abkhazia, and South Ossetia. These disputes highlight the region's role as a buffer zone, as described in both geopolitical theories.
4. **Global Connectivity:** The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) led by China underscores the importance of the South Caucasus as a key link in global trade networks. This aligns with Spykman's focus on the Rimland's role in facilitating global connectivity.

### Limitations and Critiques

While Mackinder's and Spykman's theories provide valuable insights, they are not without limitations. Critics argue that these frameworks often oversimplify complex geopolitical realities and underestimate the agency of smaller states. Additionally, the rise of non-state actors, technological advancements, and the increasing importance of cyber and space domains challenge the traditional focus on geography as the primary determinant of power.

Nevertheless, the fundamental principles of these theories—emphasizing the interplay between geography, resources, and power—remain relevant. By adapting these insights to the contemporary context, scholars and policymakers can better understand and navigate the complexities of the Eurasian Balkans.

The theoretical foundations of Mackinder's Heartland Theory and Spykman's Rimland Theory provide a robust framework for analyzing the geopolitical dynamics of the Eurasian Balkans. By synthesizing these perspectives, the concept of Caucasusland and the strategic importance of the Caucasian Bridge emerge as central to understanding the region's role in global politics. While these theories are not without limitations, their enduring relevance underscores the importance of geography in shaping power and influence in the modern world. As the world continues to evolve, the insights derived from these theories will remain invaluable for addressing the challenges and opportunities of the Eurasian Balkans.

## Caucasusland: A New Geopolitical Unit

The South Caucasus, often referred to as the "Caucasian Bridge," has long served as a critical crossroads of civilizations, trade, and power struggles. Its geographical positioning, at the intersection of Europe and Asia, imbues it with both opportunities and vulnerabilities. Recognizing the unique geopolitical dynamics of this region, the concept of "Caucasusland" emerges as a novel framework for understanding its role in global affairs. As a distinct geopolitical unit, Caucasusland encapsulates the region's dual function as a gateway and a buffer, providing insights into its strategic importance, potential alliances, and challenges.

### Defining Caucasusland

Caucasusland encompasses the South Caucasus region, including the nations of Georgia, Armenia, and Azerbaijan, along with their adjacent territories and key transit corridors. This region is not merely a geographical area but a geopolitical axis that bridges the continents of Europe and Asia. Its significance is amplified by its natural resources, including oil and gas reserves, as well as its position as a vital transit route for pipelines, railways, and highways connecting the East to the West.

Unlike traditional geopolitical classifications that view the South Caucasus as part of larger entities like the Heartland or Rimland, Caucasusland is conceptualized as a standalone unit.



This distinction arises from its unique ability to influence and be influenced by the competing powers of Russia, Europe, the United States, and China. As a geopolitical unit, Caucasusland embodies the complexities of globalization, regionalism, and great-power competition.

### Geopolitical Importance of Caucasusland

The strategic importance of Caucasusland is rooted in its geography, resources, and historical role as a cultural and economic bridge.

The South Caucasus is a critical hub for energy transportation. Pipelines such as the Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan (BTC) pipeline and the Southern Gas Corridor (SGC) transport Caspian energy resources to global markets. These infrastructure projects bypass Russia and Iran, making them strategically vital for Europe's energy security and for reducing dependence on Russian energy supplies. Control over these routes grants significant leverage in global energy politics.

Positioned along the modern Silk Road, Caucasusland plays a pivotal role in global trade networks. China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) highlights the region's importance as a transit corridor linking Asia to Europe. Railways and highways traversing the region are integral



to fostering economic connectivity, providing an alternative to traditional maritime routes.

Caucasusland acts as a buffer between competing powers, mitigating direct confrontations. It separates Russia from NATO-member Turkey, the European Union's periphery, and the Middle East. This buffering role has historically made the region a contested space, with external powers vying to influence its political and economic trajectories.

The South Caucasus is a mosaic of cultures, religions, and languages, reflecting centuries of interaction between diverse civilizations. This diversity enhances its geopolitical significance, making it a region where soft power and cultural diplomacy play critical roles in shaping alliances and influence.

## Major Players and Interests in Caucasusland

The geopolitical dynamics of Caucasusland are shaped by the competing interests of regional and global powers. Each of these players views the region through the lens of their strategic objectives, leading to a complex interplay of cooperation and competition:

For Russia, the South Caucasus is a critical part of its "near abroad," a region where it seeks to maintain influence through historical, economic, and security ties. Russia has military bases in Armenia, maintains peacekeeping forces in Nagorno-Karabakh, and uses energy dependency as a tool to exert influence. The region also serves as a buffer against NATO expansion.

The U.S. views Caucasusland primarily through the lens of energy security and geopolitical competition with Russia. By supporting pipeline projects that bypass Russia and advocating for democratic governance in the region, the U.S. seeks to limit Moscow's influence while fostering stability.

The EU's interests in Caucasusland center on energy diversification and regional stability. Initiatives like the Eastern Partnership aim to strengthen political and economic ties with the South Caucasus while promoting

reforms. The EU also plays a mediating role in regional conflicts, such as those involving Nagorno-Karabakh.

China's interest in Caucasusland is primarily economic, driven by its Belt and Road Initiative. The region serves as a crucial link in China's efforts to connect Asia with Europe via overland trade routes. While China maintains a neutral stance on regional conflicts, its investments in infrastructure and trade enhance its influence.

Turkey's role in the South Caucasus is shaped by historical, cultural, and economic ties, particularly with Azerbaijan. Turkey has emerged as a key supporter of Azerbaijan in regional conflicts and a partner in energy transit projects. Iran, on the other hand, views the region with caution, balancing its interests in maintaining influence with concerns about potential challenges to its own territorial integrity.

## Challenges Facing Caucasusland

Territorial disputes, such as those over Nagorno-Karabakh, Abkhazia, and South Ossetia, continue to undermine regional stability. These conflicts not only hinder economic development but also serve as flashpoints for external interventions.

The South Caucasus is characterized by varying political systems, ranging from democratic governance in Georgia to more authoritarian regimes in Armenia and Azerbaijan. This fragmentation complicates efforts at regional cooperation and integration.

The competing interests of major powers create a challenging environment for the region's states, which must navigate complex alliances and dependencies. Balancing relations with Russia, the U.S., the EU, and China requires skillful diplomacy and strategic foresight.

While the region has significant economic potential, it remains underdeveloped in many areas. Dependence on energy exports, limited industrial diversification, and infrastructure gaps pose challenges to sustainable growth.

## Opportunities for Caucasusland

Greater collaboration among the South Caucasus states could enhance economic integration and reduce reliance on external powers. Initiatives such as shared infrastructure projects and trade agreements could strengthen regional resilience.

The region's role as a bridge between East and West positions it as an ideal location for diplomatic initiatives and conflict mediation. By adopting a stance of neutrality, Caucasusland could attract international support for peacebuilding efforts.

Investments in renewable energy and digital infrastructure could transform the region into a hub for innovation and sustainability. Leveraging its natural resources and geographic location, Caucasusland could play a leading role in the global energy transition.

The concept of Caucasusland as a distinct geopolitical unit provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing the region's intricate dynamics. Situated at the crossroads of Europe and Asia, the South Caucasus represents both an opportunity and a challenge in global geopolitics. This discussion explores the

implications of viewing the region as a standalone geopolitical entity, its potential role in global management, and the complexities of balancing regional and international interests.

## Geopolitical Implications of Caucasusland

Recognizing the South Caucasus as Caucasusland elevates its status in global geopolitics, emphasizing its critical role as a gateway and a buffer zone. Historically, the region has been a focal point for power struggles, and this remains true today. By conceptualizing it as a distinct unit, analysts and policymakers can better appreciate its significance in shaping global economic and political trends.

Caucasusland's position as a transit corridor for energy resources underscores its importance to global energy security. With pipelines like the Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan (BTC) and the Southern Gas Corridor, the region provides alternatives to energy routes dominated by Russia and the Middle East. As global energy dynamics

shift, Caucasusland could serve as a linchpin for diversifying energy supplies, particularly for Europe.

The region's role in China's Belt and Road Initiative highlights its importance as a bridge between East and West. As trade routes evolve in response to geopolitical tensions and supply chain disruptions, Caucasusland could emerge as a key node in global commerce. However, realizing this potential requires significant investments in infrastructure and political stability.

## Balancing Regional and International Interests

Caucasusland's geopolitical significance stems from its ability to attract the attention of major powers. However, this attention often results in competing agendas that create tension and instability. Managing these interests requires a delicate balancing act.

The involvement of Russia, the United States, the European Union, China, Turkey, and Iran introduces both opportunities and challenges. While external investments and partnerships can foster economic growth, they can also exacerbate divisions and conflicts.

For instance, Russia's historical influence often conflicts with Western ambitions for democratization and integration.

A unified approach among the South Caucasus states could strengthen their position in negotiations with external powers. However, historical animosities, such as the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, hinder regional cooperation. Resolving these disputes is crucial for fostering a sense of collective identity and promoting sustainable development within Caucasusland.

As a buffer zone, Caucasusland absorbs tensions from neighboring regions. While this role provides a degree of strategic importance, it also makes the region vulnerable to external conflicts. Balancing this dual role is critical for ensuring long-term stability.

## Challenges Facing Caucasusland

The transformation of Caucasusland into a stable and prosperous geopolitical unit faces several obstacles. These challenges must be addressed to unlock the region's potential:

Ongoing conflicts, such as those over Nagorno-Karabakh, Abkhazia, and South Ossetia, remain significant barriers to regional stability. These disputes are not only sources of tension but also tools for external powers to exert influence. Addressing these conflicts through diplomacy and inclusive negotiations is essential.

Despite its resource wealth, Caucasusland struggles with economic diversification. Overreliance on energy exports and underdeveloped industries make the region susceptible to global market fluctuations. Strategic investments in technology, agriculture, and green energy could mitigate these vulnerabilities.

The presence of competing external powers complicates governance and decision-making in Caucasusland. Balancing relations with Russia, the West, and China requires strategic foresight and a focus on national interests.

The region's diverse cultural and political landscape poses challenges to unity. Differences in governance styles, economic policies, and international alignments often lead to discord among the South Caucasus states. Building a shared vision for Caucasusland requires fostering dialogue and collaboration.

## Opportunities for Caucasusland

Despite these challenges, Caucasusland holds immense potential for contributing to global stability and development. Several opportunities can be leveraged to transform the region into a model of cooperation and progress:

Caucasusland's position as a crossroads makes it an ideal location for mediating regional and international conflicts. By fostering dialogue and promoting peace, the region can enhance its role as a neutral platform for diplomacy.

Collaborative initiatives, such as shared infrastructure projects and free trade agreements, could strengthen economic ties within the region and beyond. Investments in renewable energy and digital transformation could also position Caucasusland as a hub for innovation.

The region's rich cultural heritage offers opportunities for soft power initiatives. By promoting its unique identity, Caucasusland can enhance its global image and attract tourism and investment.

## The Path Forward

The conceptualization of Caucasusland as a distinct geopolitical unit offers a fresh perspective on the South Caucasus and its role in global affairs. To fully realize its potential, the region must address its internal divisions, resolve conflicts, and foster collaboration among its states. At the same time, it must navigate the complex interplay of external powers, leveraging its strategic position to its advantage.

As the world transitions into a new phase of global management, Caucasusland stands at a crossroads. By embracing its unique identity and addressing its challenges, the region can transform itself into a pillar of stability and progress, contributing to a more balanced and interconnected world.

## Conclusion

The South Caucasus, reimagined as the geopolitical unit of Caucasusland, holds unparalleled significance in the global arena. Its strategic location at the intersection of Europe and Asia, coupled with its energy resources and cultural diversity, positions it as a vital axis of global connectivity and influence. However, this significance comes with immense challenges, as the region is both a coveted prize and a contested space for competing powers. The concept of Caucasusland offers a framework for understanding the region's unique geopolitical dynamics and potential paths forward in an increasingly interconnected world.



## Key Insights

The historical and contemporary importance of Caucasusland stems from its dual role as a bridge and a buffer. As a bridge, it facilitates trade, cultural exchange, and energy transportation between the East and West. Projects like the Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan pipeline and China's Belt and Road Initiative highlight the region's critical role in global commerce and energy security. As a buffer, it mitigates direct confrontations between major powers, including Russia, NATO, and the Middle East, while absorbing the geopolitical tensions that arise from these interactions.

The region's geopolitical importance is further enhanced by its natural resources and transit routes, which are vital for energy diversification and global trade. However, unresolved territorial disputes, political fragmentation, and external pressures from competing powers continue to hinder its stability and development. Despite these challenges, Caucasusland has the potential to emerge as a model of cooperation and progress if it can overcome its internal divisions and navigate the complexities of global power dynamics.

## Opportunities for Transformation

Unresolved disputes, such as those over Nagorno-Karabakh and other contested territories, remain the most significant barriers to regional stability. Addressing these conflicts through inclusive negotiations and international mediation is essential for creating a foundation for long-term peace and cooperation.

Collaboration among the South Caucasus states is critical for leveraging the region's economic and geopolitical potential. Shared infrastructure projects, trade agreements, and coordinated policies can strengthen regional resilience and enhance global connectivity.

Balancing relations with major powers such as Russia, the United States, China, and the European Union requires strategic foresight and diplomatic agility. By adopting a neutral stance and fostering partnerships

based on mutual interests, Caucasusland can maximize its benefits while minimizing external interference.

Investments in renewable energy, technology, and education can reduce the region's dependence on traditional energy exports and promote economic diversification. These initiatives can also position Caucasusland as a hub for innovation and green energy, contributing to global efforts to combat climate change.

## Vision for the Future

The conceptualization of Caucasusland as a distinct geopolitical unit highlights the region's potential to play a transformative role in global affairs. By embracing its unique identity and addressing its challenges, the South Caucasus can evolve into a space of collaboration, progress, and stability. This vision requires not only regional unity but also the support of international actors who recognize the strategic importance of fostering peace and development in the region.

In an era defined by globalization and interdependence, Caucasusland represents an opportunity to bridge divides and create new pathways for cooperation. As the world moves toward optimizing global management, the region's ability to adapt and innovate will determine its role in shaping the future. By transforming its vulnerabilities into strengths, Caucasusland can become a cornerstone of stability and progress, contributing to a more interconnected and balanced global order.

## References:

1. Chichinadze, K., Lazarashvili, A., & Tkemaladze, J. (2013). RNA in centrosomes: structure and possible functions. *Protoplasma*, 250(1), 397-405.
2. Chichinadze, K., Tkemaladze, J., & Lazarashvili, A. (2012). A new class of RNAs and the centrosomal hypothesis of cell aging. *Advances in Gerontology*, 2(4), 287-291.
3. Chichinadze, K., Tkemaladze, J., & Lazarashvili, A. (2012). Discovery of centrosomal RNA and centrosomal hypothesis of cellular ageing and differentiation. *Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids*, 31(3), 172-183.

4. Chichinadze, K., Tkemaladze, D., & Lazarashvili, A. (2012). New class of RNA and centrosomal hypothesis of cell aging. *Advances in Gerontology= Uspekhi Gerontologii*, 25(1), 23-28.
5. Chichinadze, K. N., & Tkemaladze, D. V. (2008). Centrosomal hypothesis of cellular aging and differentiation. *Advances in Gerontology= Uspekhi Gerontologii*, 21(3), 367-371.
6. Jaba, T. (2022). Dasatinib and quercetin: short-term simultaneous administration yields senolytic effect in humans. *Issues and Developments in Medicine and Medical Research Vol. 2*, 22-31.
7. Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Abastumani Resort: Balneological Heritage and Modern Potential. *Junior Researchers*, 2(2), 126–134. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/jr.2024.02.02.12>
8. Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Microelementoses - history and current status. *Junior Researchers*, 2(2), 108–125. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/jr.2024.02.02.11>
9. Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2023). The planaria *Schmidtea mediterranea* as a model system for the study of stem cell biology. *Junior Researchers*, 1(1), 194–218. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.01.01.20>
10. Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Comparative Analysis of drugs that improve the Quality of Life and Life Expectancy. *Junior Researchers*, 1(1), 184–193. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.01.01.19>
11. Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Balneology in Georgia: traditions and modern situation. *Junior Researchers*, 2(2), 78–97. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/jr.2024.02.02.09>
12. Lezhava, T., Monaselidze, J., Jokhadze, T., Kakauridze, N., Khodeli, N., Rogava, M., Tkemaladze, J., ... & Gaiozishvili, M. (2011). Gerontology research in Georgia. *Biogerontology*, 12, 87-91. doi: 10.1007/s10522-010-9283-6. Epub 2010 May 18. PMID: 20480236; PMCID: PMC3063552
13. Matsaberidze, M., Prangishvili, A., Gasitashvili, Z., Chichinadze, K., & Tkemaladze, J. (2017). TOPOLOGY OF ANTI-TERRORIST AND ANTI-CRIMINAL TECHNOLOGY FOR EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS. *International Journal of Terrorism & Political Hot Spots*, 12.
14. Prangishvili, A., Gasitashvili, Z., Matsaberidze, M., Chkhartishvili, L., Chichinadze, K., Tkemaladze, J., ... & Azmaiparashvili, Z. (2019). SYSTEM COMPONENTS OF HEALTH AND INNOVATION FOR THE ORGANIZATION OF NANO-BIOMEDIC ECOSYSTEM TECHNOLOGICAL PLATFORM. *Current Politics and Economics of Russia, Eastern and Central Europe*, 34(2/3), 299-305.
15. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Alive Language. *Longevity Horizons*, 108(1). doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14688792>
16. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Transforming the psyche with phoneme frequencies "Habere aliam linguam est possidere secundam animam" Charlemagne. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.16105.61286](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16105.61286)
17. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Eternal Youth. *Longevity Horizons*, 108(1). doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14681902>
18. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Achieving Perpetual Vitality Through Innovation. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.31113.35685](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31113.35685)
19. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Curing All Diseases. *Longevity Horizons*, 108(1). doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14676208>
20. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). A Universal Approach to Curing All Diseases: From Theoretical Foundations to the Prospects of Applying Modern Biotechnologies in Future Medicine. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.24481.11366](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.24481.11366)
21. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Strategic Importance of the Caucasian Bridge and Global Power Rivalries. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.19153.03680](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.19153.03680)
22. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Food Security. *Longevity Horizons*, 108(1). doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14642407>
23. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Systemic Resilience and Sustainable Nutritional Paradigms in Anthropogenic Ecosystems. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.18943.32169/1](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.18943.32169/1)
24. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to the Living Space. *Longevity Horizons*, 108(1). doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14635991>

25. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Solutions to the Living Space Problem to Overcome the Fear of Resurrection from the Dead. doi: [10.13140/RG.2.2.34655.57768](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34655.57768)
26. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). The Concept of Data-Driven Automated Governance. *Georgian Scientists*, 6(4), 399–410. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/gS.2024.06.04.38>
27. Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Elimination of centrioles. *Georgian Scientists*, 6(4), 291–307. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/gS.2024.06.04.25>
28. Tkemaladze, J. (2024). The rate of stem cell division decreases with age. *Georgian Scientists*, 6(4), 228–242. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/gS.2024.06.04.21>
29. Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Absence of centrioles and regenerative potential of planaria. *Georgian Scientists*, 6(4), 59–75. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/gS.2024.06.04.08>
30. Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Main causes of intelligence decrease and prospects for treatment. *Georgian Scientists*, 6(2), 425–432. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/gS.2024.06.02.44>
31. Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Cell center and the problem of accumulation of oldest centrioles in stem cells. *Georgian Scientists*, 6(2), 304–322. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/gS.2024.06.02.32>
32. Tkemaladze, J., & Samanishvili, T. (2024). Mineral ice cream improves recovery of muscle functions after exercise. *Georgian Scientists*, 6(2), 36–50. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/gS.2024.06.02.04>
33. Tkemaladze J. Editorial: Molecular mechanism of ageing and therapeutic advances through targeting glycativ and oxidative stress. *Front Pharmacol*. 2024 Mar 6;14:1324446. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1324446. PMID: 38510429; PMCID: PMC10953819.
34. Tkemaladze, Jaba and Kipshidze, Mariam, Regeneration Potential of the Schmidtea Mediterranea CIW4 Planarian. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4633202> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4633202>
35. Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Is the selective accumulation of oldest centrioles in stem cells the main cause of organism ageing?. *Georgian Scientists*, 5(3), 216–235. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.05.03.22>
36. Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Cross-senolytic effects of dasatinib and quercetin in humans. *Georgian Scientists*, 5(3), 138–152. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.05.03.15>
37. Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Structure and possible functions of centriolar RNA with reference to the centriolar hypothesis of differentiation and replicative senescence. *Junior Researchers*, 1(1), 156–170. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.01.01.17>
38. Tkemaladze, J. (2023). The centriolar hypothesis of differentiation and replicative senescence. *Junior Researchers*, 1(1), 123–141. doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.01.01.15>
39. Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Reduction, proliferation, and differentiation defects of stem cells over time: a consequence of selective accumulation of old centrioles in the stem cells?. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 50(3), 2751–2761.
40. Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Long-Term Differences between Regenerations of Head and Tail Fragments in Schmidtea Mediterranea Ciw4. Available at SSRN 4257823.
41. Tkemaladze, J., & Apkhazava, D. (2019). Dasatinib and quercetin: short-term simultaneous administration improves physical capacity in human. *J Biomedical Sci*, 8(3), 3.
42. Tkemaladze, J., Tavartkiladze, A., & Chichinadze, K. (2012). Programming and Implementation of Age-Related Changes. In *Senescence*. IntechOpen.
43. Tkemaladze, J., & Chichinadze, K. (2010). Centriole, differentiation, and senescence. *Rejuvenation research*, 13(2-3), 339–342.
44. Tkemaladze, J. V., & Chichinadze, K. N. (2005). Centriolar mechanisms of differentiation and replicative aging of higher animal cells. *Biochemistry (Moscow)*, 70, 1288–1303.
45. Tkemaladze, J., & Chichinadze, K. (2005). Potential role of centrioles in determining the morphogenetic status of animal somatic cells. *Cell biology international*, 29(5), 370–374.
46. Прангишвили, А. И., Гаситашвили, З. А., Мацаберидзе, М. И., Чичинадзе, К. Н.,

- (2017). К топологии антитеррористических и антикриминальных технологии для образовательных программ. В научном издании представлены материалы Десятой международной научно-технической конференции «Управление развитием крупномасштабных систем (MLSD'2016)» по следующим направлениям:• Проблемы управления развитием крупномасштабных систем, включая ТНК, Госхолдин-ги и Гос-корпорации., 284.
47. Прангшвили, А. И., Гаситашвили, З. А., Мацаберидзе, М. И., Чхртишвили, Л. С., Чичинадзе, К. Н., Ткемаладзе, Д. В., ... & Азмайпарашвили, З. А. СИСТЕМНЫЕ СОСТАВЛЯЮЩИЕ ЗДРАВООХРАНЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИЙ ДЛЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ ЕВРОПЕЙСКОЙ НАНО-БИОМЕДИЦИНСКОЙ ЭКОСИСТЕМНОЙ ТЕХНОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ ПЛАТФОРМЫ. В научном издании представлены материалы Десятой международной научно-технической конференции «Управление развитием крупномасштабных систем (MLSD'2016)» по следующим направлениям:• Проблемы управления развитием крупномасштабных систем, включая ТНК, Госхолдин-ги и Гос-корпорации., 365.
48. Ткемаладзе, Д. В., & Чичинадзе, К. Н. (2005). Центриольные механизмы дифференцировки и репликативного старения клеток высших животных. Биохимия, 70(11), 1566-1584.
49. Ткемаладзе, Д., Цомаиа, Г., & Жоржוליани, И. (2001). Создание искусственных самоадаптирующихся систем на основе Теории Прогноза. Искусственный интеллект. УДК 004.89. Искусственный интеллект. УДК 004.89.
50. Чичинадзе, К., Ткемаладзе, Д., & Лазарашвили, А. (2012). НОВЫЙ КЛАСС РНК И ЦЕНТРОСОМНАЯ ГИПОТЕЗА СТАРЕНИЯ КЛЕТОК. Успехи геронтологии, 25(1), 23-28.
51. Чичинадзе, К. Н., & Ткемаладзе, Д. В. (2008). Центросомная гипотеза клеточного старения и дифференциации. Успехи геронтологии, 21(3), 367-371