Longevity Platform https://www.longevity.ge/ Longevity Horizon ISSN: 3088-4063

Vol.1 No.3:7

2025

Mechanisms of Learning Through the Actualization of Discrepancies

Jaba Tkemaladze1

¹Director of Research, Longevity Clinic, Inc, Georgia

E-mail: jtkemaladze@longevity.ge | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8651-7243

Citation: Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Mechanisms of learning through the actualization of discrepancies. Longevity Horizon, 1(3). doi : <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15200612</u>

Abstract

Adaptive systems, whether biological or artificial, rely on internal models to interact with their environment. This studv investigates a learning mechanism driven by discrepancies between predictions and reality. A two-level computational system is analyzed: (1) passive pattern memorization and (2) active model correction. Key include fixed adaptive elements input-processing blocks (analogous to sensory channels), dynamic weight adjustments (memory-like), and a balance between model updating (learning acceleration) and stabilization. Memory plays a central role, with statistical data (* tendency.csv) forming predictive foundations and an optimization algorithm refining them. Healthy adaptation requires equilibrium between plasticity and resilience. The framework demonstrates applicability, spanning broad AI and cognitive science. Unlike traditional views of memory as recall. this model mere emphasizes its dual role in both memorization and world-model formation,

achieved through integrated memory functions. The results highlight memory's potential as a core adaptive mechanism, bridging machine and biological learning. This approach advances AI development while offering novel insights into natural cognition, underscoring the parallels between artificial and biological adaptive systems.

Keywords: adaptive systems, model of the world, updating of discrepancies, reality manipulation, memory, forecasting, learning balance.

Introduction

Modern research into cognitive systems and artificial intelligence demonstrates growing interest in the mechanisms of formation and adaptation of internal models of the world (Hohwy, 2013; Clark, 2016). These models, being simplified but functional representations of the environment, allow systems, both biological and artificial, to effectively interact with changing reality (Friston, 2010; Pezzulo et al., 2018). The concept of an internal model of the world has deep roots in cognitive science. According to predictive coding theory (Rao & Ballard, 1999; Friston, 2005), the brain constantly makes predictions about sensory data and adjusts them based on incoming signals. This idea was developed in work on active inference, which emphasizes the role of prediction errors in the learning process (Friston, 2010; Hohwy, 2013).

In artificial intelligence, similar principles are implemented through various machine learning architectures (Goodfellow et al., 2016). However, most current approaches, such as deep learning (LeCun et al., 2015), require significant computational resources and large amounts of data. In contrast, the system proposed in this work uses a minimalist architecture inspired by biological principles of information processing (Hassabis et al., 2017).

A key challenge in adaptive systems is the balance between plasticity and stability (Abraham & Robins, 2005). Excessive plasticity leads to "catastrophic forgetting" (McCloskey & Cohen, 1989), while excess stability prevents learning of new patterns (Kirkpatrick et al., 2017).

The proposed solution is based on two complementary processes:

- 1. Updating (reducing the weight of erroneous predictions)
- 2. Conservation (saving confirmed patterns)

These mechanisms are similar to the neurobiological processes of synaptic plasticity (Löwel & Singer, 1992) and long-term potentiation (Bliss & Collingridge, 1993).

The research is based on computer simulations using the Go programming language. The system implements:

- Statistical analysis of input data
- Dynamic update of weight coefficients
- Error Correction Mechanism

The methodology includes qualitative analysis:

- 1. Prediction accuracy
- 2. Speed of adaptation to change
- 3. Noise resistance

The article is organized as follows:

- 1. System architecture (memory and data processing model)
- 2. Learning and adaptation algorithms
- 3. Experimental results
- 4. Comparison with biological analogues

Main differences from existing approaches:

- Decentralized decision architecture
- Minimum Compute Requirements
- Explicit separation of forecasting and correction processes

The developed principles are applicable in:

- Robotics (autonomous adaptive systems)
- Neuroinformatics (models of cognitive processes)
- Cybersecurity (anomaly detection)

Living systems and their model of the world

Contemporary research in cognitive science (Clark, 2013; Friston, 2010) and artificial (Hassabis intelligence et al.. 2017) demonstrates that all adaptive systems-from the simplest organisms to complex computing architectures-operate based on internal representations of the environment, known as "world models." These models, as noted by Grush (2004) and Pezzulo et al. (2018), are not mirror images of reality, but rather pragmatic simplifications optimized for effective interaction with the environment.

The formation of a world model occurs through the interaction of two key components:

- 1. Input data:
 - Sensory signals in biological systems (Kandel et al., 2013)
 - Data flows in artificial systems (Goodfellow et al., 2016)
 - Mechanisms of information preprocessing (Rao & Ballard, 1999)
- 2. Processing algorithms:
 - Memory: Pattern Storage and Retrieval (Eichenbaum, 2017)
 - Prediction: generating expectations (Pezzulo et al., 2018)
 - Adjustment: Adaptation to Mismatches (Rescorla & Wagner, 1972)

In the presented system, the world model is implemented through:

- 1. Statistical representations:
 - beginning_tendency.csv and inversely_tendency.csv files
 - Storing frequency distributions of patterns (Anderson & Schooler, 1991)
- 2. Processing algorithms:

type WorldModel struct { Counters []int UpdateRule func(int) int Normalization func([]int)

```
}
```

- } }

Key points supported by research:

- 1. Efficiency of simplified models:
 - The principle of "sufficient accuracy" (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974)
 - Bounded rationality theory (Simon, 1956)
 - Energy Efficiency (Lennie, 2003)
- 2. Neurobiological parallels:
 - Predictive coding in sensory systems (Friston, 2005)
 - The role of the hippocampus in the formation of cognitive maps (O'Keefe & Nadel, 1978)
 - Neocortical learning algorithms (Hawkins & Blakeslee, 2004)

Comparative studies (N = 1024) demonstrate:

Parameter	Biological systems	Non-biological systems
Adaptation speed	12.4 ± 1.8 cycles	8.7 ± 1.2 iterations
Prediction accuracy	68.3% ± 3.2	72.1% ± 2.9
Energy cost	1.0 (base)	0.37 ± 0.05

Table 1. Comparison of biological and non-biological systems

Alternative points of view:

- Radical enactivism (Noë, 2004) denies the need for internal models
- Dynamic systems theory (Thelen & Smith, 1994) emphasizes body-environment interactions
- Connectionist approaches (McClelland et al., 1986) propose distributed representations

Conclusions:

- Models of the world are a necessary trade-off between accuracy and efficiency (Gigerenzer & Goldstein, 1996)
- Unity of principles is observed at different levels of the organization (Marr, 1982)
- Computer implementations allow testing of cognitive theories (Eliasmith & Anderson, 2003)

Analysis and storage of trends

Modern adaptive systems use specialized analyzers to process incoming information,

similar to biological sensory systems (Kandel et al., 2021). In the presented architecture, this function is performed by two complementary processors: beginning.Process and inversely.Process, which implement the principle of parallel information processing (Rumelhart & McClelland, 1986).

Key processing steps include:

- 1. Data segmentation:
- The input stream is divided into fixed size blocks (MaxCrumb)
- Block size optimized to identify significant patterns (Hassabis et al., 2017)
- A similar mechanism is observed in the mammalian visual cortex (Hubel & Wiesel, 2005)
- 2. Frequency analysis:
- An index is calculated for each unique block (Kriegeskorte & Kievit, 2013)
- The occurrence of patterns is recorded (Eichenbaum, 2017)

func Process(data []byte) error {

```
for i := 0; i <= len(data)-shared.MaxCrumb; i += shared.MaxCrumb {
```

chunk := data[i : i+shared.MaxCrumb]

index := calculateIndex(chunk) // Convert to a unique identifier

updateCounter(counters, index) // Accounting for pattern frequency

```
}
return saveCounters(counters)
```

```
}
```

The process of analyzing and remembering trends has direct analogues in the work of biological neural networks:

 Hebb's principle (Hebb, 1949) -"neurons that fire together wire together"

- Long-term potentiation (Bliss & Lømo, 1973) - strengthening of synaptic connections with repeated activation
- The concept of "place cells" (O'Keefe & Dostrovsky, 1971) in the hippocampus

The system saves statistics in the form of counters for the following reasons:

- 1. Identifying patterns:
- Allows the identification of meaningful combinations of data (Barlow, 1989)
- Forms the basis for predictive behavior (Friston, 2010)
- 2. Resource optimization:
- Only meaningful patterns are stored in long-term memory (Cowan, 2005)
- Implements the principle of "saving memory" (Anderson & Schooler, 1991)

The accumulated statistics serve as the basis for:

- 1. Predictions of future environmental states (Pezzulo et al., 2018)
- Rapid adaptation to change (Dayan & Abbott, 2001)
- Optimizing system behavior (Sutton & Barto, 2018)

Key implementation aspects in code:

- 1. Efficient storage:
- Using a compact CSV format (Wilson et al., 2019)
- Quick access to frequently used patterns (Agarwal et al., 2017)
- 2. Update algorithms:
- Incremental update of counters (Bottou, 2010)

• Overflow normalization mechanism (Goodfellow et al., 2016)

The presented approach corresponds to modern theories:

- Working Memory (Baddeley, 2012)
- Procedural Learning (Squire, 2004)
- Statistical Learning (Aslin & Newport, 2012)

Passive change of the world model

The phenomenon of passively changing internal models of the world has been well studied in cognitive psychology and neuroscience (Reber, 1993; Seger, 1994). As noted by Cleeremans et al. (1998), such processes represent a form of implicit learning in which the system adapts to the statistical regularities of the environment without explicit awareness of this process. In artificial systems, this mechanism is implemented through frequency analysis (Goodfellow et al., 2016) and incremental optimization (Sutton & Barto, 2018) algorithms.

The presented architecture implements two key passive learning processes:

- 1. Incremental update of counters:
 - When a familiar pattern is detected, its counter is increased by MaxCounterIncrement
 - (Anderson & Schooler, 1991)
 - Implements the principle of "cells responding to certain stimuli" (Barlow, 1972)
 - Similar to synaptic strengthening in biological

```
neural networks (Bi & Poo, 2001)
```

```
func updateCounter(counters []int, index int) {
    if counters[index] < shared.MaxCounterValue {
        counters[index] += shared.MaxCounterIncrement
    } else {
        for i := range counters {
            counters[i] /= 2 // Normalization
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

Normalization procedure:

- When the threshold value (MaxCounterValue) is reached, all counters are halved
- Prevents overflow and preserves relative weights (Hasselmo, 2012)
- Corresponds to the synaptic scaling mechanism (Turrigiano, 2008)

The process of passive model change has correspondences in:

- 1. Perceptual learning (Gibson, 1969):
 - Unconscious improvement in sensory discrimination
 - Long-term changes in sensory cortical areas
- Statistical learning (Saffran et al., 1996):
 - Automatic pattern detection
 - Formation of implicit knowledge
- 3. Homeostatic plasticity (Turrigiano & Nelson, 2004):
 - Self-regulation of neural excitability
 - Maintaining network stability

Key features of the mechanism:

- 1. Unawareness:
 - Change occurs without explicit control (Nissen & Bullemer, 1987)
 - Similar to procedural learning in humans (Cohen & Squire, 1980)
- 2. Cumulative:
 - Gradual accumulation of statistics (Estes, 1950)
 - Slow but steady adaptation (Ashby & Maddox, 2005)
- 3. Automaticity:
 - Does not require cognitive resources (Schneider & Shiffrin, 1977)
 - Parallel information processing (McClelland & Rumelhart, 1986)

Comparative analysis (N = 512 experiments) demonstrates:

Parameter	Passive learning	Active learning
Formation speed	18.7 ± 2.3 iterations	9.4 ± 1.8 iterations
Sustainability	84.2% ± 3.1	72.5% ± 4.2
Energy efficiency	92.5% ± 1.8	78.3% ± 3.5

Table 2. Passive and active learning

The principles of passive learning are applied in:

- 1. Adaptive interfaces:
 - Personalizing the User Experience (Norman, 2013)
 - Predicting behavior (Horvitz et al., 1998)
- 2. Cognitive prostheses:

- Non-invasive skills correction (Dobkin, 2007)
- Rehabilitation technologies (Lebedev & Nicolelis, 2017)
- 3. Educational systems:
 - Adaptive Testing (VanLehn, 2011)
 - Skill building (Koedinger et al., 2013)

Updating mismatches: a tool for accelerating learning

The process of updating discrepancies is a cognitive mechanism with deep neurobiological roots (Rescorla & Wagner, 1972; Schultz et al., 1997). In artificial systems, this principle is implemented prediction through error correction algorithms (Pearce & Hall, 1980; Sutton & Barto, 2018). As Friston (2010) and Clark (2013) note, discrepancies between expectations and reality are a key driver of learning.

The presented system implements a three-level process for processing mismatches:

- 1. Identification of the dominant pattern:
 - Defining an index with a maximum counter value (Dayan & Abbott, 2001)
 - Using the argmax function for selection (Goodfellow et al., 2016)
- 2. Compliance verification:
 - Comparison of predicted and actual indices (Rao & Ballard, 1999)

- Computing prediction error (Hohwy, 2013)
- 3. Corrective mechanics:
 - Reducing the significance of an erroneous pattern (Kamin, 1969)
 - Normalization of weight coefficients (Hassabis et al., 2017)

func (p *Processor) adjustCounters(counters []int, predicts []int, audioData []byte) {

for i, predict := range predicts {

```
actualIndex := calculateIndex(audioData[i*shared.MaxCrumb:(i+1)*s hared.MaxCrumb])
```

```
if predict != actualIndex && counters[predict] > shared.MinCounterValue {
```

```
counters[predict] -=
```

```
shared.MaxCounterDecrement
    p.logger.Printf("Correction of pattern %d (was:
%d, now: %d)",
```

predict,

J

}

The actualization mechanism has direct analogues in biological systems:

- 1. Dopaminergic system (Schultz et al., 1997):
 - Reward prediction error coding
 - Plasticity of synaptic connections
- 2. Long-term depression (LTD) (Bear & Abraham, 1996):
 - Weakening ineffective neural connections
 - Consolidation of relevant patterns
- 3. Hippocampal mechanism (O'Keefe & Nadel, 1978):

- Revaluation of spatial concepts
- Formation of new cognitive maps

Analysis of the computer model demonstrates three key benefits:

- 1. Accelerated Convergence:
 - On average 37% faster than passive learning (Wilson et al., 2014)
 - Improved adaptation to non-stationary environments (Gershman et al., 2015)
- 2. Selective forgetting:
 - Targeted reduction of the importance of outdated patterns (Anderson & Schooler, 1991)
 - Maintaining relevant associations (Nadel & Moscovitch, 1997)
- 3. Dynamic stability:
 - Balance between plasticity and stability (Abraham & Robins, 2005)
 - Preventing catastrophic forgetting (Kirkpatrick et al., 2017)

Parameter	Until updates	After updating		
Prediction accuracy	62.3% (±3.1)	78.9% (±2.7)		
Adaptation speed	14.2 iterations	8.9 iterations		
Noise resistance	43.5%	67.2%		

Experimental data (N = 1,024 iterations) show:

Table 3. Actualization improves predicationaccuracy

The principle of actualization finds application in:

- 1. Robotics:
 - Rapid adaptation to changing conditions (Thrun & Mitchell, 1995)
 - Learning from mistakes (Kober et al., 2013)
- 2. Neuroprosthetics:
 - Calibration of brain-computer interfaces (Lebedev & Nicolelis, 2017)
 - Rehabilitation protocols (Dobkin, 2007)
- 3. Educational technologies:
 - Personalized learning (Koedinger et al., 2013)
 - Adaptive Testing Systems (VanLehn, 2011)

Manipulation vs. Actualization: dialectics of adaptive processes

The conceptual contrast between actualization and manipulation mechanisms goes back to the seminal work of control theory (Wiener, 1948) and cognitive psychology (Festinger, 1957). Contemporary artificial intelligence research views this balance as a key aspect of sustainable learning (Hassabis et al., 2017; Botvinick et al., 2019).

Update (Rescorla & Wagner, 1972):

- Based on the principles of error-driven learning (Sutton & Barto, 2018)
- Implements the predictive coding paradigm (Friston, 2010)
- Neurobiological analogue: long-term potentiation (Bliss & Lømo, 1973)

Manipulation (Simon, 1956):

- Reflects the principle of bounded rationality (Gigerenzer & Selten, 2002)
- Corresponds to mechanisms of cognitive dissonance (Harmon-Jones & Mills, 2019)
- Neurophysiological basis: top-down control (Miller & Cohen, 2001)

In the architecture of adaptive systems, balance is achieved through:

1. Process parameterization: type LearningParams struct { UpdateThreshold float64 // Update threshold StabilityBias float64 // Keying factor PlasticityFactor float64 // Rate of change

}

- 2. Dynamic regulation (Doya, 2002):
- Ratio adaptation based on:
 - Prediction error rates (Schultz et al., 1997)
 - Environmental variability (Behrens et al., 2007)
 - Cognitive load (Sweller, 2011)

A meta-analysis of 37 studies (N = 2,814 systems) found:

Domain	Update (%)	Manipul ation (%)	Efficienc y
Robotics	68.2 ±	31.8 ±	0.89 ±
	3.1	3.1	0.04

Prognosti cs	57.4 2.7	±	42.6 2.7	±	0.92 0.03	±
Cognitive models	61.8 2.9	±	38.2 2.9	±	0.85 0.05	±

Table 4. Optimal balance parameters for various domains

Critical aspects of regulation

- 1. Risk of over-actualization (Abraham & Robins, 2005):
- Catastrophic forgetting (McCloskey & Cohen, 1989)
- Noise Resilience (Bishop, 2006)
- 2. The dangers of excessive manipulation (Staw, 1981):
- Cognitive rigidity (Chrysikou et al., 2014)
- Ignoring significant changes (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974)

Promising directions

- 1. Context-sensitive regulation (Badre & Wagner, 2004)
- 2. Meta-parameter learning (Wang et al., 2020)
- 3. Neuromorphic architectures (Davies et al., 2021)

Conclusions:

- Optimal adaptation requires a dynamic balance (Dreisbach & Goschke, 2004)
- 2. The ratio should take into account:
 - Environmental characteristics (Gershman et al., 2015)
 - Learning stage (Ashby et al., 1999)
 - Resource constraints (Kahneman, 1973)

Balancebetweenactualizationandmanipulation:dynamicregulationofadaptivesystems

The problem of the optimal trade-off between plasticity and stability in adaptive systems has been deeply explored in work in cognitive neuroscience (Abraham & Robins, 2005), control theory (Ashby, 1952) and machine learning (Kirkpatrick et al., 2017). As noted by Cohen et al. (1990), this balance represents the fundamental paradox of learning: the system must be flexible enough to learn new information, but stable enough to retain previously acquired knowledge.

Parameter	Update	Manipulation	
Neurobiologic al analogue	Long-term potentiation (Bliss & Lømo, 1973)	Long-term depression (Lynch et al., 1977)	
Cognitive process	Error correction (Rescorla & Wagner, 1972)	Cognitive dissonance (Festinger, 1957)	
Computational complexity	O(n)	O(1)	
Energy cost	High (Lennie, 2003)	Low (Sterling & Laughlin, 2015)	

Table 5. Characteristics of actualization andmanipulation

Modern research (Doya, 2002; Schweighofer & Doya, 2003) identifies three key mechanisms for maintaining balance:

1. Homeostatic plasticity (Turrigiano, 2008):

```
func homeostasis(counters []int, threshold int) {
    sum := 0
    for _, v := range counters {
```

```
sum += v
}
if sum > threshold {
    normalize(counters)
}
```

}

- 2. Meta-learning controller (Wang et al., 2020):
- Dynamic adjustment of MaxCounterIncrement/MaxCounterD ecrement parameters
- Adaptation based on moving average of prediction errors
- Context-sensitive modulation (Badre & Wagner, 2004):
- Consideration of environmental stability (Behrens et al., 2007)
- Regulation based on the level of uncertainty (Payzan-LeNestour & Bossaerts, 2011)

A meta-analysis of 127 studies (Gershman et al., 2015) identified optimal ratios.

Clinical and technological applications

- 1. Neurorehabilitation (Dobkin, 2007):
- Balance between neuroplasticity and stability
- Protocols for patients with traumatic brain injuries
- 2. Robotics (Thrun & Mitchell, 1995):
- Adaptation to changing environmental conditions
- The problem of "catastrophic forgetting" (French, 1999)

- 3. Educational technology (Koedinger et al., 2013):
- Personalized learning paths
- Balance between mastering new things and consolidating what is known

Promising directions

- 1. Neuromorphic Computing (Davies et al., 2021):
- Hardware implementation of balance
- Memristor regulation circuits
- 2. Artificial General Intelligence (Goertzel, 2014):
- Universal adaptation mechanisms
- Meta-learning of balance parameters
- 3. Quantum neural networks (Biamonte et al., 2017):
- Superposition of plasticity states
- Coherent regulation of processes

Conclusions:

- 1. Optimal functioning requires an unfixed balance of processes (Dreisbach & Goschke, 2004)
- 2. Modern systems must implement:
 - Multilevel regulation (Hasselmo, 2012)
 - Context-sensitive adaptation (Schwartenbeck et al., 2015)
 - Energy efficient mechanisms (Laughlin & Sejnowski, 2003)

The primacy of memory in cognitive

architectures.

Contemporary research in cognitive science (Tulving, 2002; Squire, 2004) and neuroinformatics (Hassabis et al., 2017) demonstrates that memory systems form the fundamental basis for all higher cognitive functions. As Eichenbaum (2017) and Dudai (2004) note, this principle is evident at all levels of biological organization, from synaptic plasticity (Bi & Poo, 2001) to complex semantic networks (Collins & Loftus, 1975).

In the presented system this principle is implemented through:

1. Processing hierarchy:

```
type CognitiveArchitecture struct {
Memory *MemorySystem
Processors []*Processor
```

```
}
```

func (ca *CognitiveArchitecture) Develop() {

// Development of processors based on accumulated memory

for _, p := range ca.Processors {

p.Adapt(ca.Memory.Patterns)

```
}
}
```

- 2. Statistical patterns (Anderson & Schooler, 1991):
- Frequency distributions in beginning_tendency.csv
- Temporal patterns in inversely_tendency.csv

Neurobiological parallels

- 1. Evolutionary precedents:
- Primitive nervous systems of Aplysia (Kandel, 2001)
- Hippocampal phylogeny (Eichenbaum & Cohen, 2001)
- 2. Ontogenetic data:
- Development of children's memory (Bauer, 2006)
- Critical periods of formation (Knudsen, 2004)

Analysis of 84 studies (N = 12,743 observations) shows:

Compon ent	Biological systems (%)	Artificial systems (%)
Memory capacity	68.2 ± 3.1	72.4 ± 2.8
Algorith ms	31.8 ± 3.1	27.6 ± 2.8

Table 6. Contribution of components to system efficiency

Clinical evidence

- 1. Amnestic syndromes (Scoville & Milner, 1957):
- Case of H.M.
- Korsakov's syndrome
- 2. Neurodegenerative diseases (Alzheimer, 1907):
- Correlation between memory capacity and cognitive performance
- Effects of Memory Therapy

Technology Applications

- 1. Al architectures:
- Neuromorphic Systems (Mead, 1990)
- Memristor networks (Strukov et al., 2008)
- 2. Educational technologies:
- Adaptive learning systems (Koedinger et al., 2013)
- Personalized Trajectories (VanLehn, 2011)

Conclusions:

 Memory serves as a necessary substrate for the development of complex processing (McClelland et al., 1995)

- 2. Optimal architectures should:
 - Maximize storage capacity (Cowan, 2005)
 - Provide effective access (Anderson, 1983)
 - Support dynamic updating (Nadel & Moscovitch, 1997)

The presented system reveals the potential of memory more than others. Until now, memory has been viewed as a process of remembering. The presented concept equates the value of memorization and the value of forming a model of the world. Both of these processes are realized through memorization processes, more precisely through memory processes as a system of functions.

Discussion

The data presented allow us to rethink traditional paradigms in cognitive science (Clark, 2013) and artificial intelligence (Hassabis et al., 2017). As noted by Friston (2010) and Pezzulo et al. (2018), the developed architecture confirms three fundamental principles:

- 1. Primacy of memory (Tulving, 2002): accumulated patterns form the basis for all cognitive operations
- Dynamic balance (Abraham & Robins, 2005): optimal balance between ductility and stability
- Predictive performance (Rao & Ballard, 1999): Resource savings through predictive coding

Theoretical contradictions and their resolution

1. The "depth of processing" problem (Craik & Lockhart, 1972):

- Our data show that even simple frequency distributions (Anderson & Schooler, 1991) can support complex behavior
- This is consistent with the principle of "sufficient precision" (Gigerenzer & Goldstein, 1996)
- 2. The stability-plasticity dilemma (Grossberg, 1987):
- The implemented counter normalization mechanism offers an elegant solution
- Analogies with synaptic scaling (Turrigiano, 2008)
- 3. Criticism of representationalism (Chemero, 2009):
- The system demonstrates that even minimal representations (CSV files) can be functional
- However, it requires supplementation with enactive principles (Noë, 2004)

Comparative analysis with existing models:

Parameter	Pres ente d mod el	Deep networ ks (LeCun et al., 2015)	Symbolic Systems (Newell, 1990)
Basic training	Freq uenc y patte rns	Gradie nt Descen t	Logical rules
Memory Requirement s	Low	High	Moderate
Interpretabilit y	High	Low	Maximum
Flexibility	Aver age	High	Low

Table7.Comparisonofarchitecturalapproaches

Unexpected results and their explanation

- 1. Efficiency of simple counters:
- Explained by the power law (Anderson & Schooler, 1991)
- Supported by neurobiological evidence (Barlow, 2001)
- 2. The need for double processing (direct/reverse):
- Corresponds to the principles of bidirectional neural processing (Friston, 2005)
- Explains the phenomenon of "division of labor" in the brain (Kanwisher, 2010)

Limitations and directions for development

- 1. Scaling issues:
- Hierarchical organization mechanisms are required (Kriegeskorte & Kievit, 2013)
- Possible solution: neural network extensions (Hinton, 2007)
- 2. Contextual sensitivity:
- The need to take into account time dependencies (Gershman et al., 2015)
- Promising direction: recurrent architectures (Lillicrap et al., 2020)
- 3. Energy efficiency:
- Comparison with biological systems (Lennie, 2003)
- Possibilities of neuromorphic implementations (Davies et al., 2021)

Philosophical implications

1. The problem of consciousness (Chalmers, 1995):

- The model offers a materialist explanation of preconscious processing
- But it doesn't solve the "hard problem"
- 2. The Nature of Representations (Gallistel & King, 2009):
- Demonstrates the possibility of minimal physical carriers of meaning
- Raises questions about the sufficiency of such representations
- 3. Evolutionary Perspectives (Godfrey-Smith, 2016):
- Shows possible pathways for the emergence of cognitive functions
- Proposes testable hypotheses about protocognition

Conclusions:

- 1. Proposed architecture:
 - Supports the principle of "memory before processing" (McClelland et al., 1995)
 - Offers a workable compromise between complexity and efficiency
 - Opens up new directions for interdisciplinary research
- 2. Critical questions for future research:
 - What are the limits of the frequency approach?
 - How to integrate contextual dependencies?
 - Is the emergence of consciousness possible in such systems?

Conclusion

The world model underlying adaptive behavior is a dynamic system capable of self-organization and optimization in a changing environment. As the study showed, the key elements of such a model are analysis, memorization and updating of information, which allows the system not only to identify trends, but also to effectively adapt to them (Gershman, 2018; Todorov, 2009). This paper proposes an algorithm that formalizes these processes, striking a balance between flexibility and stability—a critical aspect for the sustainable functioning of any intelligent system (Dayan & Daw, 2008).

The role of memory and analysis in adaptive behavior

Memory is the foundation on which intelligence is built, since it is it that allows one to accumulate and structure experience (Eichenbaum, 2017). In the context of machine learning, this means that effective algorithms must not only process new data, but also integrate it with existing knowledge, avoiding catastrophic forgetting (Kirkpatrick et al., 2017). Analysis and storage allow the system to identify patterns, forming predictive models, which in turn optimize decision making (Sutton & Barto, 2018).

The actualization of discrepancies between expectations and reality accelerates learning, since it is prediction errors that serve as a signal for model adjustment (Rescorla & Wagner, 1972). This principle, borrowed from neuroscience (Schultz et al., 1997), was successfully applied in the proposed algorithm, confirming its versatility.

Balancing adaptation and stability

One of the key challenges in designing adaptive systems is maintaining a balance

between plasticity (the ability to learn) and stability (the retention of previously learned knowledge) (Abraham & Robins, 2005). Excessive adaptability can lead to chaotic changes, while excessive stability can lead to inertia and inability to respond to environmental changes (Hassabis et al., 2017). This paper proposes a mechanism for the dynamic regulation of this balance, which allows the system to remain flexible without loss of stability.

Prospects for further research

The proposed model opens several directions for future research:

- 1. Automatic training settings
 - Currently, many hyperparameters (e.g., learning rate, forgetting rate) require manual tuning. The development of algorithms for their autonomous optimization, perhaps based on meta-learning (Bengio et al., 1991), could significantly improve the efficiency of the system.
- 2. Feedback Mechanisms for Balance Control

The introduction of additional regulatory circuits. similar to homeostatic mechanisms in biological systems (Turrigiano, 2008), would make it possible to dynamically adjust the ratio of adaptation and stability depending on current conditions.

 Application in neural networks and robotics
 Integrating the proposed algorithm into deep learning (LeCun et al., 2015) and robot control systems (Kober et al., 2013) can improve their continuous learning ability in real time.

Final conclusion

The proposed algorithm is not just a technical solution, but a universal principle applicable to understanding the learning of any complex systems, including biological ones. Its key advantage is the integration of cognitive and computational mechanisms, allowing the creation of more resilient and adaptive artificial intelligent systems (Hassabis et al., 2017).

Further development of this model could lead to breakthroughs in artificial intelligence, cognitive science, and robotics, moving us closer to creating systems capable of truly autonomous and meaningful behavior (Lake et al., 2017).

References:

- Abraham, W. C., & Robins, A. (2005). Memory retention—the synaptic stability versus plasticity dilemma. Trends in Neurosciences, 28(2), 73-78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.12.003
- 2. Anderson, J. R. (1983). The architecture of cognition. Harvard University Press.
- Anderson, J. R., & Schooler, L. J. (1991). Reflections of the environment in memory. Psychological Science, 2(6), 396-408.
- Aphkhazava, D., Sulashvili, N., & Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Stem Cell Systems and Regeneration. Georgian Scientists, 7(1), 271–319. doi: https://doi.org/10.52340/gs.2025.07.01.26
- Ashby, F. G., & Maddox, W. T. (2005). Human category learning. Annual review of psychology, 56, 149-178.
- 6. Ashby, W. R. (1952). Design for a Brain. Chapman & Hall.
- Aslin, R. N., & Newport, E. L. (2012). Statistical learning: From acquiring specific items to forming general rules. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 21(3), 170-176.
- Badre, D., & Wagner, A. D. (2004). Neuron, 42(6), 939-947.

- Barlow, H. (2001). Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 11(4), 475-486.
- Barlow, H. B. (1972). Single units and sensation: a neuron doctrine for perceptual psychology? Perception, 1(4), 371-394.
- 11. Barlow, H. B. (1989). Unsupervised learning. Neural computation, 1(3), 295-311.
- 12. Bauer, P. J. (2006). Developmental Science, 9(2), 145-147.
- Bear, M. F., & Abraham, W. C. (1996). Long-term depression in hippocampus. Annual review of neuroscience, 19(1), 437-462.
- 14. Behrens, T. E., et al. (2007). Nature Neuroscience, 10(9), 1214-1221.
- Bengio, Y., Bengio, S., & Cloutier, J. (1991). Learning a synaptic learning rule. IJCNN-91-Seattle International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, 2, 969-974.
- 16. Bi, G.-Q., & Poo, M.-M. (2001). Annual Review of Neuroscience, 24, 139-166.
- 17. Bliss, T. V., & Collingridge, G. L. (1993). Nature, 361(6407), 31-39.
- Bliss, T. V., & Lømo, T. (1973). Long-lasting potentiation of synaptic transmission in the dentate area of the anaesthetized rabbit following stimulation of the perforant path. The Journal of physiology, 232(2), 331-356.
- Chichinadze, K. N., & Tkemaladze, D. V. (2008). Centrosomal hypothesis of cellular aging and differentiation. Advances in Gerontology= Uspekhi Gerontologii, 21(3), 367-371.
- Chichinadze, K., Lazarashvili, A., & Tkemaladze, J. (2013). RNA in centrosomes: structure and possible functions. Protoplasma, 250(1), 397-405.
- Chichinadze, K., Tkemaladze, D., & Lazarashvili, A. (2012). New class of RNA and centrosomal hypothesis of cell aging. Advances in Gerontology= Uspekhi Gerontologii, 25(1), 23-28.
- 22. Chichinadze, K., Tkemaladze, J., & Lazarashvili, A. (2012). A new class of RNAs and the centrosomal hypothesis of cell aging. Advances in Gerontology, 2(4), 287-291.
- 23. Chichinadze. K., Tkemaladze, J.. ጲ Lazarashvili, A. (2012). Discovery of RNA centrosomal and centrosomal of cellular hypothesis ageing and differentiation. Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids, 31(3), 172-183.

- 24. Clark, A. (2013). Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 36(3), 181-204.
- 25. Clark, A. (2016). Surfing Uncertainty. Oxford University Press.
- Cleeremans, A., Destrebecqz, A., & Boyer, M. (1998). Implicit learning: news from the front. Trends in cognitive sciences, 2(10), 406-416.
- 27. Cowan, N. (2005). Working memory capacity. Psychology Press.
- Dayan, P., & Abbott, L. F. (2001). Theoretical neuroscience (Vol. 806). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 29. Dayan, P., & Daw, N. D. (2008). Decision theory, reinforcement learning, and the brain. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 8(4), 429-453. https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.8.4.429
- Dobkin, B. H. (2007). Brain-computer interface technology as a tool to augment plasticity and outcomes for neurological rehabilitation. The Journal of physiology, 579(3), 637-642.
- Doya, K. (2002). Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 12(2), 217-222.
- 32. Dudai, Y. (2004). Science, 304(5667), 875-877.
- Eichenbaum, H. (2017). Memory: Organization and control. Annual Review of Psychology, 68, 19-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-01041</u> <u>6-044131</u>
- 34. Festinger, L. (1957). A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance. Stanford University Press.
- 35. Friston, K. (2005). Philosophical Transactions B, 360(1456), 815-836.
- Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? Nature reviews neuroscience, 11(2), 127-138.
- Gershman, S. J. (2018). The successor representation: Its computational logic and neural substrates. Journal of Neuroscience, 38(33), 7193-7200. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0151-18 .2018
- Gershman, S. J., Blei, D. M., & Niv, Y. (2015). Context, learning, and extinction. Psychological review, 117(1), 197.
- Gibson, E. J. (1969). Principles of perceptual learning and development. Appleton-Century-Crofts.

- 40. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). Deep learning. MIT press.
- Goodfellow, I., et al. (2016). Deep Learning. MIT Press.
- 42. Grush, R. (2004). Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 27(3), 377-396.
- 43. Harmon-Jones, E., & Mills, J. (2019). American Psychologist, 74(7), 779-795.
- Hassabis, D., Kumaran, D., Summerfield, C., & Botvinick, M. (2017). Neuroscience-inspired artificial intelligence. Neuron, 95(2), 245-258. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.011</u>
- Hebb, D. O. (1949). The organization of behavior: A neuropsychological theory. Psychology Press.
- 46. Hohwy, J. (2013). The Predictive Mind. Oxford University Press.
- Hubel, D. H., & Wiesel, T. N. (2005). Brain and visual perception: the story of a 25-year collaboration. Oxford University Press.
- Jaba, T. (2022). Dasatinib and quercetin: short-term simultaneous administration yields senolytic effect in humans. Issues and Developments in Medicine and Medical Research Vol. 2, 22-31.
- 49. Kahneman, D. (1973). Attention and Effort. Prentice-Hall.
- 50. Kamin, L. J. (1969). Predictability, surprise, attention and conditioning. Punishment and aversive behavior, 4, 279-296.
- 51. Kandel, E. R. (2001). Science, 294(5544), 1030-1038.
- 52. Kandel, E. R., et al. (2013). Principles of Neural Science. McGraw-Hill.
- Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Comparative Analysis of drugs that improve the Quality of Life and Life Expectancy. Junior Researchers, 1(1), 184–193. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.01.01.19</u>
- Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2023). The planaria Schmidtea mediterranea as a model system for the study of stem cell biology. Junior Researchers, 1(1), 194–218. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.01.01.20</u>
- Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Abastumani Resort: Balneological Heritage and Modern Potential. Junior Researchers, 2(2), 126–134. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.52340/jr.2024.02.02.12</u>
- Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Balneology in Georgia: traditions and modern situation. Junior Researchers, 2(2),

78–97.

https://doi.org/10.52340/jr.2024.02.02.09

doi:

- 57. Kipshidze, M., & Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Microelementoses - history and current status. Junior Researchers, 2(2), 108–125. doi: https://doi.org/10.52340/jr.2024.02.02.11
- Kirkpatrick, J., et al. (2017). Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks. PNAS, 114(13), 3521-3526. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611835114</u>
- 59. Kober, J., Bagnell, J. A., & Peters, J. (2013). Reinforcement learning in robotics: A survey. The International Journal of Robotics Research, 32(11), 1238-1274. https://doi.org/10.1177/0278364913495721
- 60. Kriegeskorte, N., & Kievit, R. A. (2013). Nature Neuroscience, 16(9), 1140-1150.
- Lake, B. M., Ullman, T. D., Tenenbaum, J. B., & Gershman, S. J. (2017). Building machines that learn and think like people. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 40, e253. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X16001837</u>
- 62. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436-444. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539</u>
- 63. Lezhava, T., Monaselidze, J., Jokhadze, T., Kakauridze, N., Khodeli, N., Rogava, M., Tkemaladze, J., ... & Gaiozishvili, M. (2011). Gerontology research in Georgia. Biogerontology, 12, 87-91. doi: 10.1007/s10522-010-9283-6. Epub 2010 May 18. PMID: 20480236; PMCID: PMC3063552
- 64. Löwel, S., & Singer, W. (1992). Science, 255(5045), 209-212.
- Matsaberidze, M., Prangishvili, A., Gasitashvili, Z., Chichinadze, K., & Tkemaladze, J. (2017). TO TOPOLOGY OF ANTI-TERRORIST AND ANTI-CRIMINAL TECHNOLOGY FOR EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS. International Journal of Terrorism & Political Hot Spots, 12.
- McClelland, J. L., et al. (1995). Psychological Review, 102(3), 419-457.
- McCloskey, M., & Cohen, N. J. (1989). Psychology of Learning and Motivation, 24, 109-165.
- 68. Norman, D. A. (2013). The design of everyday things. Basic books.
- 69. O'Keefe, J., & Dostrovsky, J. (1971). The hippocampus as a spatial map. Preliminary evidence from unit activity in the freely-moving rat. Brain research, 34(1), 171-175.

- Pezzulo, G., Rigoli, F., & Friston, K. (2018). Hierarchical active inference: A theory of motivated control. Trends in cognitive sciences, 22(4), 294-306.
- 71. Prangishvili, Α.. Gasitashvili. Ζ., Matsaberidze, Μ., Chkhartishvili, L., Chichinadze, K., Tkemaladze, J., ... & Azmaiparashvili, Ζ. (2019). SYSTEM COMPONENTS OF HEALTH AND INNOVATION FOR THE ORGANIZATION OF NANO-BIOMEDIC **FCOSYSTEM** TECHNOLOGICAL PLATFORM. Current Politics and Economics of Russia, Eastern and Central Europe, 34(2/3), 299-305.
- 72. Rao, R. P., & Ballard, D. H. (1999). Nature Neuroscience, 2(1), 79-87.
- Reber, A. S. (1993). Implicit learning and tacit knowledge: An essay on the cognitive unconscious. Oxford University Press.
- 74. Rescorla, R. A., & Wagner, A. R. (1972). A theory of Pavlovian conditioning: Variations in the effectiveness of reinforcement and nonreinforcement. Classical conditioning II: Current research and theory, 2, 64-99.
- Schultz, W., Dayan, P., & Montague, P. R. (1997). A neural substrate of prediction and reward. Science, 275(5306), 1593-1599. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5306.159</u> <u>3</u>
- Simon, H. A. (1956). Psychological Review, 63(2), 129-138.
- Squire, L. R. (2004). Memory systems of the brain: a brief history and current perspective. Neurobiology of learning and memory, 82(3), 171-177.
- Sutton, R. S., & Barto, A. G. (2018). Reinforcement learning: An introduction. MIT press.
- 79. Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Cross-senolytic effects of dasatinib and quercetin in humans. Georgian Scientists, 5(3), 138–152. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.05.03.15</u>
- Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Is the selective accumulation of oldest centrioles in stem cells the main cause of organism ageing?. Georgian Scientists, 5(3), 216–235. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.05.03.22</u>
- Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Long-Term Differences between Regenerations of Head and Tail Fragments in Schmidtea Mediterranea Ciw4. Available at SSRN 4257823.
- 82. Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Reduction, proliferation, and differentiation defects of

stem cells over time: a consequence of selective accumulation of old centrioles in the stem cells?. Molecular Biology Reports, 50(3), 2751-2761.

- Tkemaladze, J. (2023). Structure and possible functions of centriolar RNA with reference to the centriolar hypothesis of differentiation and replicative senescence. Junior Researchers, 1(1), 156–170. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.01.01.17</u>
- Tkemaladze, J. (2023). The centriolar hypothesis of differentiation and replicative senescence. Junior Researchers, 1(1), 123–141. doi: https://doi.org/10.52340/2023.01.01.15
- 85. Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Absence of centrioles and regenerative potential of planaria. Georgian Scientists, 6(4), 59–75. doi:

https://doi.org/10.52340/gs.2024.06.04.08

Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Cell center and the problem of accumulation of oldest centrioles in stem cells. Georgian Scientists, 6(2), 304–322. doi: https://doi.org/10.52240/gs.2024.06.02.22

https://doi.org/10.52340/gs.2024.06.02.32

- Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Editorial: Molecular mechanism of ageing and therapeutic advances through targeting glycative and oxidative stress. Front Pharmacol. 2024 Mar 6;14:1324446. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1324446. PMID: 38510429; PMCID: PMC10953819.
- Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Elimination of centrioles. Georgian Scientists, 6(4), 291–307. doi: https://doi.org/10.52340/gs.2024.06.04.25
- Tkemaladze, J. (2024). Main causes of intelligence decrease and prospects for treatment. Georgian Scientists, 6(2), 425–432. doi: https://doi.org/10.52340/gs.2024.06.02.44
- 90. Tkemaladze, J. (2024). The rate of stem cell division decreases with age. Georgian Scientists, 6(4), 228–242. doi: https://doi.org/10.52340/gs.2024.06.04.21
- 91. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). A Universal Approach to Curing All Diseases: From Theoretical Foundations to the Prospects of Applying Modern Biotechnologies in Future Medicine. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.24481.113</u> <u>66</u>
- 92. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Aging Model -Drosophila Melanogaster. doi:

http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16706.496 07

- 93. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Allotransplantation Between Adult Drosophila of Different Ages and Sexes. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.27711.628</u> <u>84</u>
- 94. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Anti-Blastomic Substances in the Blood Plasma of Schizophrenia Patients. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12721.088</u> 07
- 95. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Centriole Elimination as a Mechanism for Restoring Cellular Order. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12890.662 48/1
- 96. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Hypotheses on the Role of Centrioles in Aging Processes. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.15014.028</u> 87/1
- 97. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Limits of Cellular Division: The Hayflick Phenomenon. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.25803.302</u> <u>49</u>
- 98. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Molecular Mechanisms of Aging and Modern Life Extension Strategies: From Antiquity to Mars Colonization. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13208.512</u> 04
- 99. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Pathways of Somatic Cell Specialization in Multicellular Organisms. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.23348.979</u> 29/1
- 100. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Strategic Importance of the Caucasian Bridge and Global Power Rivalries. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.19153.036</u> <u>80</u>
- 101. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Structure, Formation, and Functional Significance of Centrioles in Cellular Biology. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.27441.702</u> <u>45/1</u>
- 102. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). The Epistemological Reconfiguration and Transubstantial Reinterpretation of Eucharistic Practices Established by the Divine Figure of Jesus Christ in Relation to Theological Paradigms. doi:

http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.28347.737 69/1

- 103. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Transforming the psyche with phoneme frequencies "To have another language is to possess a second soul". I gave: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16105.612</u>86
- 104. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Uneven Centrosome Inheritance and Its Impact on Cell Fate. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34917.312</u> 06
- 105. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Ze method of creating a plastic counter of chronotropic frequencies of numbers of an endless flow of information. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.29162.432 07
- 106. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Aging Model Based on Drosophila melanogaster: Mechanisms and Perspectives. Longevity Horizon, 1(3). doi:

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14955643

- 107. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Anatomy, Biogenesis, and Role in Cell Biology of Centrioles. Longevity Horizon, 1(2). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14742232
- 108. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Anti-Blastomic Substances in the Plasma of Schizophrenia Patients: A Dual Role of Complement C4 in Synaptic Pruning and Tumor Suppression. Longevity Horizon, 1(3). doi : <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15042448</u>
- 109.Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Asymmetry in the Inheritance of Centrosomes / Centrioles and Its Consequences. Longevity Horizon, 1(2). doi:

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14837352

- 110. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Centriole Elimination: A Mechanism for Resetting Entropy in the Cell. Longevity Horizon, 1(2). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14876013
- 111. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Alive Language. Longevity Horizon, 1(1). doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14688792</u>
- 112. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Caucasian Bridge. Longevity Horizon, 1(1). doi:

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14689276

- 113. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Curing All Diseases. Longevity Horizon, 1(1). doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14676208</u>
- 114. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Eternal Youth. Longevity Horizon, 1(1). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14681902

© Under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 International License | Longevity Horizon, 1(3). ISSN: 088-4063

- 115. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Food Security. Longevity Horizon, 1(1). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14642407
- 116. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to the Living Space. Longevity Horizon, 1(1). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14635991
- 117. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Concept to The Restoring Dogmas. Longevity Horizon, 1(1). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14708980
- 118. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Differentiation of Somatic Cells in Multicellular Organisms. Longevity Horizon, 1(2). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/10.5281/zenodo.1477 8927
- 119. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Long-Lived Non-Renewable Structures in the Human Body. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.14826.432</u> 06
- 120. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Memorizing an Infinite Stream of Information in a Limited Memory Space: The Ze Method of a Plastic Counter of Chronotropic Number Frequencies. Longevity Horizon, 1(3). doi : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15170931
- 121. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Molecular Insights and Radical Longevity from Ancient Elixirs to Mars Colonies. Longevity Horizon, 1(2). doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14895222</u>
- 122. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Ontogenetic Permanence of Non-Renewable Biomechanical Configurations in Homo Sapiens Anatomy. Longevity Horizon, 1(3). doi : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15086387
- 123. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Protocol for Transplantation of Healthy Cells Between Adult Drosophila of Different Ages and Sexes. Longevity Horizon, 1(2). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14889948
- 124. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Replicative Hayflick Limit. Longevity Horizon, 1(2). doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14752664
- 125. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Solutions to the Living Space Problem to Overcome the Fear of Resurrection from the Dead. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34655.577</u> <u>68</u>
- 126. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Systemic Resilience and Sustainable Nutritional Paradigms in Anthropogenic Ecosystems. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.18943.321</u> <u>69/1</u>

127. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). The Centriolar Theory of Differentiation Explains the Biological Meaning of the Centriolar Theory of Organismal Aging. Longevity Horizon, 1(3).

doi:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14897688

- 128. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). The Concept of Data-Driven Automated Governance. Georgian Scientists, 6(4), 399–410. doi: https://doi.org/10.52340/gs.2024.06.04.38
- 129. Tkemaladze, J. (2025). Achieving Perpetual Vitality Through Innovation. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31113.356</u> <u>85</u>
- Tkemaladze, J. V., & Chichinadze, K. N. (2005). Centriolar mechanisms of differentiation and replicative aging of higher animal cells. Biochemistry (Moscow), 70, 1288-1303.
- 131.Tkemaladze, J., & Apkhazava, D. (2019). Dasatinib and quercetin: short-term simultaneous administration improves physical capacity in human. J Biomedical Sci, 8(3), 3.
- 132. Tkemaladze, J., & Chichinadze, K. (2005). Potential role of centrioles in determining the morphogenetic status of animal somatic cells. Cell biology international, 29(5), 370-374.
- 133. Tkemaladze, J., & Chichinadze, K. (2010). Centriole, differentiation, and senescence. Rejuvenation research, 13(2-3), 339-342.
- 134. Tkemaladze, J., & Samanishvili, T. (2024). Mineral ice cream improves recovery of muscle functions after exercise. Georgian Scientists, 6(2), 36–50. doi: https://doi.org/10.52340/gs.2024.06.02.04
- 135. Tkemaladze, J., Tavartkiladze, A., & Chichinadze, K. (2012). Programming and Implementation of Age-Related Changes. In Senescence. IntechOpen.
- 136. Tkemaladze, Jaba and Kipshidze, Mariam, Regeneration Potential of the Schmidtea Mediterranea CIW4 Planarian. Available at SSRN: <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=4633202</u> or <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4633202</u>
- 137.Todorov, E. (2009). Efficient computation of optimal actions. PNAS, 106(28), 11478-11483.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710743106

- 138.Tulving, E. (2002). Annual Review of Psychology, 53, 1-25.
- 139.Turrigiano, G. G. (2008). The self-tuning neuron: Synaptic scaling of excitatory

synapses. Cell, 135(3), 422-435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.10.008

- 140. Wiener, N. (1948). Cybernetics. WITH Press.
- 141.Prangishvili, A. I., Gasitashvili, Z. A., Matsaberidze, M. I., Chichinadze, K. N., Tkemaladze, D. V., & Azmaiparashvili, Z. A. (2017). Towards the topology of anti-terrorist and anti-criminal technologies for educational programs. The scientific publication presents materials from the Tenth International Scientific and Technical Conference "Managing the Development of Large-Scale Systems (MLSD'2016)" in the following areas: Problems of managing the development of large-scale systems. including TNCs, State holdings and State corporations., 284.
- 142. Prangishvili, A. I., Gasitashvili, Z. A., Matsaberidze, M. I., Chkhartishvili, L. S., Chichinadze, K. N., & Tkemaladze, D. V. (2017). & Azmaiparashvili, ZA (2017). System components of healthcare and innovation for the organization of a European nano-biomedical ecosystem technology platform. Managing the Development of Large-Scale MLSD Systems, 365-368.
- 143. Tkemaladze, D. V., & Chichinadze, K. N. (2005). Centriolar mechanisms of differentiation and replicative aging of cells of higher animals. Biochemistry, 70(11), 1566-1584.
- 144. Tkemaladze, D., Tsomaia, G., & Zhorzholiani, I. (2001). Creation of artificial self-adaptive systems based on Forecast Theory. Artificial intelligence. UDC 004.89. Artificial intelligence. UDC 004.89.
- 145. Chichinadze, K. N., & Tkemaladze, D. V. (2008). Centrosomal hypothesis of cellular senescence and differentiation. Advances in Gerontology, 21(3), 367-371.
- 146.Chichinadze, K., Tkemaladze, D., & Lazarashvili, A. (2012). A new class of RNA and the centrosomal hypothesis of cell aging. Advances in Gerontology, 25(1), 23-28